

1 Suppose  $\underline{A} \models \phi$ . Then for any assignment  $\underline{a}$  from  $A$ ,  $\text{Val}(\phi, \underline{A}, \underline{a}) = T$ . So take any assignment  $\underline{a}'$ . In particular, for every  $x \in A$ ,  $\text{Val}(\phi, \underline{A}, \underline{a}'(ix)) = T$ , as these  $\underline{a}'(ix)$  are among the assignments  $\underline{a}$ . Thus  $\text{Val}(\forall v_1 \phi, \underline{A}, \underline{a}') = T$ . Since this is true for any  $\underline{a}'$ , we have  $\underline{A} \models \forall v_1 \phi$ .

Suppose  $\underline{A} \models \forall v_1 \phi$  so for any assignment  $\underline{a}$  from  $A$ ,  $\text{Val}(\forall v_1 \phi, \underline{A}, \underline{a}) = T$  and so for all  $x \in A$ ,  $\text{Val}(\phi, \underline{A}, \underline{a}(ix)) = T$ . In particular, take  $x = a_1$ . Then  $\underline{a}(ix) = \underline{a}$ , so  $\text{Val}(\phi, \underline{A}, \underline{a}) = T$ . Hence for all  $\underline{a}$ ,  $\text{Val}(\phi, \underline{A}, \underline{a}) = T$ , and so we have  $\underline{A} \models \phi$ .

2(a)  $((p_0 \Rightarrow p_1) \Rightarrow p_2) \Rightarrow (p_0 \Rightarrow (p_1 \Rightarrow p_2))$  is a tautology of propositional calculus (check its truth table!) and the formula is a substitution instance of this, with  $p_0$  replaced by  $\forall v_0 P(v_0, v_0)$ ,  $p_1$  replaced by  $\forall v_1 P_1(v_1, v_1)$ , and  $p_2$  replaced by  $P_2(v_2, v_2)$ . Hence the formula is a group A1 axiom.

(b) Not an axiom.

(c) Let  $\phi$  be the formula  $P(v_0, v_0)$ , and let  $t$  be the term  $v_0$ . Then  $\phi(v_0/t)$  is  $P(v_0, v_0)$ , that is, just  $\phi$  back again, and  $t$  is substitutable for  $v_0$  in  $\phi$ . So the formula is  $(\forall v_0 \phi \Rightarrow \phi(v_0/t))$ , where  $t$  is substitutable for  $v_0$  in  $\phi$ . Hence a group A2 axiom.

(d) Not an axiom.

(e) Let  $\phi$  be  $\exists v_1 P(v_0, v_1)$  and let  $t$  be the term  $v_1$ . Then certainly  $\phi(v_0/t)$  is  $\exists v_1 P(v_1, v_1)$ , so the formula is of the form  $(\forall v_0 \phi \Rightarrow \phi(v_0/t))$ . However  $t$  is *not* substitutable for  $v_0$  in  $\phi$ , since the  $v_1$  which replaces the free  $v_0$  is bound by the  $\exists v_1$  quantifier. Hence not an axiom.

(f) Let  $\phi$  be  $P(c_0, c_1)$  and let  $\psi$  be  $P(c_0, v_1)$ . The formula is then  $(\forall v_1 (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) \Rightarrow (\phi \Rightarrow \forall v_1 \psi))$  and  $v_1$  is not free in  $\phi$ . Hence a group A3 axiom.

3(a) Take any  $\underline{A} = \langle A, R, \dots \rangle$  and any assignment  $\underline{a}$  from  $A$ . Since  $\phi$  is an implication  $(\forall v_1 P(v_0, v_1) \Rightarrow P(v_0, v_0))$ , to show that  $\text{Val}(\phi, \underline{A}, \underline{a}) = T$ , we need only check the case where  $\text{Val}(\forall v_1 P(v_0, v_1), \underline{A}, \underline{a}) = T$  and show that  $\text{Val}(P(v_0, v_0), \underline{A}, \underline{a}) = T$ . So suppose that  $\text{Val}(\forall v_1 P(v_0, v_1), \underline{A}, \underline{a}) = T$ ; thus for all  $x \in A$ ,  $\text{Val}(P(v_0, v_1), \underline{A}, \underline{a}(ix)) = T$ . In particular, with  $x = a_0$ ,  $\text{Val}(P(v_0, v_1), \underline{A}, \underline{a}(ia_0)) = T$ , and thus  $R(a_0, a_0)$  holds (since  $\underline{a}(ia_0) = \langle a_0, a_0, a_2, \dots \rangle$ ). But this means that  $\text{Val}(P(v_0, v_0), \underline{A}, \underline{a}) = T$ , as required.

(b) Take any  $\underline{A} = \langle A, R, \dots \rangle$  and assignment  $\mathfrak{a}$  from  $A$ . Note that  $\phi(v_0|t)$  is the implication  $(\forall v_1 P(t, v_1) \Rightarrow P(t, t))$  so, as in (a) above, we suppose that  $\text{Val}(\forall v_1 P(t, v_1), \underline{A}, \mathfrak{a}) = T$  and aim to show that  $\text{Val}(P(t, t), \underline{A}, \mathfrak{a}) = T$ . Thus for all  $x \in A$ ,  $\text{Val}(P(t, v_1), \underline{A}, \mathfrak{a}(1|x)) = T$ , that is, we have that  $R(t[\mathfrak{a}(1|x)], v_1[\mathfrak{a}(1|x)])$  is true. Now  $v_1[\mathfrak{a}(1|x)] = x$ .

Also recall that  $t$  is substitutable for  $v_0$  in  $\phi$ . If  $v_1$  occurred in  $t$ , then  $t(v_1)$  would get "captured" when  $t$  was substituted for  $v_0$  in the part " $\forall v_1 P(v_0, v_1)$ ". Hence  $v_1$  does not occur in  $t$ . This means that the value assigned to  $v_1$  is not used in evaluating  $t[\mathfrak{a}(1|x)]$  or  $t[\mathfrak{a}]$ , and since  $\mathfrak{a}(1|x)$  and  $\mathfrak{a}$  agree except at  $v_1$ , we have  $t[\mathfrak{a}(1|x)] = t[\mathfrak{a}]$ . Thus for all  $x \in A$ ,  $R(t[\mathfrak{a}], x)$  is true. In particular, when  $x = t[\mathfrak{a}] \in A$ ,  $R(t[\mathfrak{a}], t[\mathfrak{a}])$  is true. But this means that  $\text{Val}(P(t, t), \underline{A}, \mathfrak{a}) = T$ .

Thus  $\text{Val}(\phi(v_0|t), \underline{A}, \mathfrak{a}) = T$ , and so  $\phi(v_0|t)$  is valid.

(c) We need just one  $\underline{A} = \langle A, R, \dots, f, \dots \rangle$  and one assignment  $\mathfrak{a}$  for which  $\text{Val}(\phi(v_0|F_0(v_0)), \underline{A}, \mathfrak{a}) = F$ . Take for example  $A = \{a, b\}$ ,  $R = \{(a, b), (b, a)\}$ ,  $f(a) = b$ ,  $f(b) = a$ ,  $\mathfrak{a} = \langle b, b, b, \dots \rangle$ . Then for all  $x \in A$ ,  $R(f(x), x)$  is true, and hence  $\text{Val}(\forall v_1 P(F_0(v_1), v_1), \underline{A}, \mathfrak{a}) = T$ . But  $R(f(b), f(b))$  is false, and so  $\text{Val}(P(F_0(v_1), F_0(v_1)), \underline{A}, \mathfrak{a}) = F$ . Hence

$\text{Val}((\forall v_1 P(F_0(v_1), v_1) \Rightarrow P(F_0(v_1), F_0(v_1))), \underline{A}, \mathfrak{a}) = F$ , that is,  $\text{Val}(\phi(v_0|F_0(v_1)), \underline{A}, \mathfrak{a}) = F$ . So  $\phi(v_0|F_0(v_1))$  is not valid.

4 Suppose that  $\Sigma \vdash (\phi \& \psi)$ . Then:

- (1)  $\Sigma \vdash (\phi \& \psi)$  by assumption;
- (2)  $\Sigma \vdash ((\phi \& \psi) \Rightarrow \phi)$  from tautology  $((p_0 \& p_1) \Rightarrow p_0)$ ;
- (3)  $\Sigma \vdash \phi$  by 1 and 2, and Modus Ponens.

Similarly  $\Sigma \vdash \psi$ , using the tautology  $((p_0 \& p_1) \Rightarrow p_1)$ .

Suppose that  $\Sigma \vdash \phi$  and  $\Sigma \vdash \psi$ . Then:

- (1)  $\Sigma \vdash \phi$  by assumption.
- (2)  $\Sigma \vdash \psi$  by assumption.
- (3)  $\Sigma \vdash (\phi \Rightarrow (\psi \Rightarrow (\phi \& \psi)))$  from tautology  $(p_0 \Rightarrow (p_1 \Rightarrow (p_0 \& p_1)))$ .
- (4)  $\Sigma \vdash (\psi \Rightarrow (\phi \& \psi))$  by 1 and 3, and Modus Ponens.
- (5)  $\Sigma \Rightarrow (\phi \& \psi)$  by 2 and 4, and Modus Ponens.

Similarly  $\Sigma \vdash (\phi \vee \psi)$ , using the tautology  $(p_1 \Rightarrow (p_0 \vee p_1))$ .

5 Here  $\Sigma = \{\forall v_0 \forall v_1 \phi\}$ . Each step of the proofs is given

(1)  $\forall v_0 \forall v_1 \phi$  is a formula in  $\Sigma$ ;

(2)  $(\forall v_0 \forall v_1 \phi \Rightarrow \forall v_1 \phi)$  is a formula in A2, since  $(\forall v_1 \phi)(v_0|v_0)$  is just  $\forall v_1 \phi$ , and  $v_0$  is substitutable for  $v_0$  in  $\forall v_1 \phi$ ;

(3)  $\forall v_1 \phi$  by (1) and (2), and Modus Ponens.

(a) We continue the proof from (1) - (3) above

(4)  $(\forall v_1 \phi \Rightarrow \phi)$  is a formula in A2, since  $\phi(v_0|v_0)$  is  $\phi$ , and  $v_0$  is substitutable for  $v_0$  in  $\phi$ ;

(5)  $\phi$  by (3) and (4), and Modus Ponens;

(6)  $\forall v_0 \phi$  by (5), and Generalisation;

(7)  $\forall v_1 \forall v_0 \phi$  by (6), and Generalisation.

Hence, formulae (1) - (7) make up the required proof.

(b) We again continue from (1) - (3) above

(8)  $(\forall v_1 \phi \Rightarrow \phi(v_1|v_0))$  is a formula in A2, provided that  $v_0$  is substitutable for  $v_1$  in  $\phi$ ;

(9)  $\phi(v_1|v_0)$  by (3) and (8), and Modus Ponens;

(10)  $\forall v_0 \phi(v_1|v_1)$  by (9), and Generalisation

Hence, formulae (1) - (3) and (8) - (10) make up the required proof.